	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/634,166	CRAWFORD, JULIAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher P. Bruenjes	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due cour	rse. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the appeal brief filed.	<u>June 12, 2006</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 8-15.			
3.	been received in Application No cuments have been received in this re	complying with the required S AMENDMENT or NOTICE tion is deficient. 948) attached office action of the front (not the back). nust be submitted. Note	ements CE OF
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. Examiner's Stateme	(PTO-413), e nent/Comment	ice

Application/Control Number: 10/634,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The closest prior art O'Neil teaches a twine that comprises a solid core and therefore cannot anticipate or suggest a "tubular fabric", which as shown in the appeal brief filed June 12, 2006 requires a hollow core. A twine as taught in O'Neil requires a solid core that is twisted and therefore cannot form a tubular fabric because the inner filaments forming the core cannot be pulled out to form a hollow core.

The closest prior art relating to a tubular fabric is

Plymale, which fails to teach or suggest the claimed invention,

because the filaments forming the longitudinal filaments and the

helically wrapped filaments are formed of the same material and

therefore cannot have two different elongation characteristics

as claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Drawings

2. The drawings received on August 5, 2003 are acceptable.

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,166 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher P Bruenjes Examiner Art Unit 1772

TPR CP15

July 13, 2006

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 718/06



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,166	08/05/2003	Julian Crawford	035470.00001 6335	
7590 04/06/2006			EXAMINER	
Henry S. Jaudo	on		BRUENJES, CH	RISTOPHER P
McNair Law Fir	•			
P.O. Box 10827			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC	reenville, SC 29601		1772	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2009	4

34778641

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
•	Office A -Ai Commons	10/634,166	CRAWFORD, JULIAN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>		Christopher P. Bruenjes	1772	
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication apportunity 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6) In no event, however, may a reply be timed apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	arch 2006.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.	·	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims		•	
4)	Claim(s) 8-15 is/are pending in the application.		•	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) 8-15 is/are rejected.		•	
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•	
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
		>		
Applicati	ion Papers	•		
•—	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		•	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			<i>)</i> .
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
•	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau		•	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
	·· .			
Attachmen	ıt(s)	•	•	
	æ of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
· -	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

1. The claim objections of claims 8 and 10 of record in the Office Action mailed December 28, 2005, Page 3 Paragraph 5, have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments in the Paper filed March 9, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 8, the limitation "said first elongation capabilities" lacks antecedent basis, because the limitation that the longitudinally extending filaments have "first elongation capabilities" in line 3 of claim 8 has been deleted.

Regarding claims 8-11 and 15, the limitation "said longitudinally extending drawn and heat set thermoplastic

Art Unit: 1772

filaments" lacks antecedent basis, because the limitation that the longitudinally extending filaments are "drawn and heat set" in line 3 of claim 8 has been deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 8-12 and 14 as anticipated by O'Neil are repeated for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed December 28, 2005, Pages 3-6 Paragraph 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 13 and 15 over O'Neil are repeated for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed December 28, 2005, Pages 7-8 Paragraph 10.

Art Unit: 1772

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

- 8. Applicant's arguments regarding the claim objections of record have been considered but they are moot since the objections have been withdrawn.
- 9. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 8-12 and 14 as anticipated by O'Neil and the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 13 and 15 over O'Neil have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that O'Neil is drawn to a thermoplastic twine and not a tubular fabric, the strands of O'Neil along the outer perimeter form a hollow cylindrical body and the strands inside of the outer perimeter fills the hollow cylindrical body formed by the outer perimeter strands. A tubular object is still considered tubular even when filled. Therefore, the thermoplastic twine of O'Neil reads on the claimed limitation of "tubular fabric".

In response to Applicant's argument that O'Neil fails to teach drawing and heat setting the longitudinal filaments, the limitations that the longitudinal filaments are drawn and heat set have been deleted from the claims.

In response to Applicant's argument that O'Neil fails to teach that longitudinal filaments have less elongation

Art Unit: 1772

characteristics than the elastic filaments, O'Neil specifically teaches that the longitudinal filaments are formed of thermoplastics and teaches that the preferred elastic filaments are formed of ethylene-propylene rubbers (col.3, 1.47-68), and rubbers are known to have greater elongation than thermoplastics.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1772

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes Examiner

Art Unit 1772

CPB

CPB

April 4, 2006

HAROLD PYON
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

PATENT EXAMINER 4/4/00

Page 6